CITY OF LITCHFIELD, MICHIGAN TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06)

Auditing Procedures Report
Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended and P.A. 71 of 1919, as amended.

County	Local	Unit	of Gov	emment Type				Local Unit Name	City of Litchf	ield	County
We affirm that: We are certified public accountants licensed to practice in Michigan. We are certified public accountants licensed to practice in Michigan. We truther affirm the following material, "no" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations). 2	_				Гwр	∐Village	⊠Other	Tax Increme	ent Finance Authority		Hillsdale
We affirm that: We arter certified public accountants licensed to practice in Michigan. We further affirm the following material, "no' responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations). 2 Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.) 1. All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary. 2. All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary. 2. The rear en on excumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets (P.A. 275 of 1980) or the local unit has not exceeded its budget for expenditures. 3. The local unit has adopted a budget for all required funds. 4. Apublic hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute. 5. A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute. 6. A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute. 7. The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division. 7. The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. 8. The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. 9. The local unit has not illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as adfined in the Bullelin for Audits of Local Multi and Statements of General Institutes and the provious years. 10. The rear en o indications of deflectation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit then have not been previously commun						•				d to State	
We surber affirm the following material, 'nor' responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations). Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)	6/30	0/06	<u> </u>			10/05/06			11/15/00		
We further affirm the following material, "no" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations).						w 1.6		lishiaan			
Check each applicable box below. (See instructions for further detail.)	We ar	e ce	ertifie	d public accour	ntants	licensed to pr	actice in M	ncnigan. o boon disclos	ed in the financial statem	ents. includ	ling the notes, or in the
All required component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.	We tu Mana	gem	r attii ient L	m the following etter (report of	g mate f comr	nents and reco	ommendati	ions).	ed in the infared electric		
reporting entity notes to the financial statements as necessary. 2. There are no accumulated deficits in one or more of this unit's unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets (P.A. 275 of 1980) or the local unit has not exceeded its budget for expenditures. 3. The local unit is in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury. 4. Department of Treasury. 5. A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute. 6. The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division. 7. The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. 8. The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. 9. The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin). 10. There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. 11. The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years. 12. The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. 16. Local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, n		YES	9								to a the disclosed in the
(P.A. 275 of 1980) or the local unit has not exceeded its budget for expenditures. The local unit is in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury. The local unit has adopted a budget for all required funds. A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute. The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division. The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin). The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin). The local unit been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that have not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover.	1.	×		reporting entit	y note	es to the financ	ial statem	ents as necess	sary.		
The local unit is in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury.	2.	×		There are no (P.A. 275 of	accun 1980)	nulated deficits or the local un	in one or it has not e	more of this u exceeded its b	nit's unreserved fund bala udget for expenditures.	ances/unres	stricted net assets
5. ⊠	3.	×								partment of	Treasury.
6. □ The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division. 7. □ The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. 8. □ The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that comply with statutory requirements. 9. □ The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin). 10. □ There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. 11. □ The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years. 12. □ The local unit as complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 13. □ The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. □ The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. □ To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. 16 a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. 17. The letter of Comments and Recommendations □ No audit findings were identified 18. □ Telephone Number 19. □ Telephone Number 19. □ Telephone Number 19. □ Telephone Number 19.	4.	×		The local unit	has a	dopted a budg	et for all re	equired funds.			
6. ☐ The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division. 7. ☐ The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit. 8. ☐ The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that comply with statutory requirements. 9. ☐ The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin). 10. ☐ There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. 11. ☐ The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years. 12. ☐ The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED. 13. ☐ The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. ☐ The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. ☐ To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. 16 a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. 17. The letter of Comments and Recommendations ☐ Not Required (enter a brief justification) 18. ☐ Telephone Number 19. ☐ Telephone Number	5.	×		A public heari	ing on	the budget wa	s held in a	accordance wi	th State statute.		
8.			_	The local unit	has r	ot violated the	Municipal	Finance Act,	an order issued under the	e Emergenc	y Municipal Loan Act, or
9. The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin). 10. There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. 11. The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years. 12. The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED. 13. The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. 16 a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. 1, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. 11. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) 12. Telephone Number 13. Source of the public Accountant (Firm Name) 14. Other (Describe) 15. Source of the suddent of the public Accountant (Firm Name) 16. Other (Describe) 17. Source of the public Accountant (Firm Name) 18. Source of the public Accountant (Firm Name) 19. Source of the public Accountant (Firm Name)	7.	×		The local unit	has r	not been deling	uent in dis	stributing tax re	evenues that were collect	ed for anoth	ner taxing unit.
Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix n of Bulletin). There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years. The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED. The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) The letter of Comments and Recommendations No audit findings were identified Other (Describe) Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C. Telephone Number 517.439.9331	8.	×									
that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (EAFD). In the lets such with the not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover. 11. □ The local unit is free of repeated comments from previous years. 12. □ The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED. 13. □ The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. □ The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. □ To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) Financial Statements □ No audit findings were identified Other (Describe) □ Telephone Number 517.439.9331	9.	×		The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).							
12. \ \ \ \ \ The audit opinion is UNQUALIFIED. 13. \ \ \ \ The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. \ \ \ \ The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. \ \ \ To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) Financial Statements	10.	×		that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAPD). If there is such activity that has							
The local unit has complied with GASB 34 or GASB 34 as modified by MCGAA Statement #7 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) The letter of Comments and Recommendations No audit findings were identified Other (Describe) Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C.	11.	×		The local unit	t is fre	e of repeated	comments	from previous	years.		
accepted accounting principles (GAAP). 14. The board or council approves all invoices prior to payment as required by charter or statute. 15. our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following:	12.	×		The audit opi	nion is	S UNQUALIFIE	D.				
To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely. If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification)	13.	×		accepted acc	countir	ng principles (C	SAAP).				and other generally
If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) The letter of Comments and Recommendations Other (Describe) Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C.	14.	×									
included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the hame(s), address(es), and description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects. We have enclosed the following: Enclosed Not Required (enter a brief justification) The letter of Comments and Recommendations No audit findings were identified Other (Describe) Telephone Number 517.439.9331		_	_		_						
We have enclosed the following: Financial Statements The letter of Comments and Recommendations Other (Describe) Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C. Not Required (enter a brief justification) No audit findings were identified Telephone Number 517.439.9331	incl des	udec cript	in t ion(s	his or any oth) of the authori	ity and	dit report, nor d/or commissio	do they d n.	obtain a stand	-alone addit, please end	ndaries of t close the na	he audited entity and is not ame(s), address(es), and a
Financial Statements The letter of Comments and Recommendations Other (Describe) Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C. Telephone Number 517.439.9331											
Other (Describe) Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C. Telephone Number 517.439.9331							\boxtimes				
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name) Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C. Telephone Number 517.439.9331	The letter of Comments and Recommendations No audit findings were identified										
Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C. 517.439.9331	Oth	ner (C	Descri								
Cabourne, March, Condan & Co., 1 12					•						
Street Address					_		State	Zip			
184 W. Carleton Road Hillsdale MI 49242							•		,		
Authorizing CPA Signature Authorizing CPA Signature Stephen W. Bisher License Number 1101026492				1 Di	2)	Bril.) F		Bisher		
porgram or . on nec			× ×	yours o	<u>·</u>	· OH RES			-		

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	i - ii
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	iii - v
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS	1
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	2
FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUND	3
RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET WITH THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS	4
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGETARY COMPARISON – GOVERNMENTAL FUND	5
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPE TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	6
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	7 - 12
OTHER REPORTS	
GAO GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS REPORT	13 - 14



Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C.

Certified Public Accountants 184 West Carleton Road Hillsdale, Michigan 49242

Telephone: 517/439-9331 FAX: 517/439-1894

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Tax Increment Financing Authority Board City of Litchfield, Michigan Litchfield, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activity and major fund activity of the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA), a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan, as of June 30, 2006, and for the year then ended. The component unit financial statements are the responsibility of the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA) management, a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these component unit financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the component unit financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the component unit financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the component unit financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activity and major fund activity of the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA), a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan, as of June 30, 2006, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages iii through v are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the method of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 5, 2006, on our consideration of the Tax Increment Financing Authority's (TIFA), a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan, internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Osbowne, March, Condon & Co P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

Management's Discussion and Analysis Year Ended June 30, 2006

The following discussion and analysis of the financial performance for the City of Litchfield, Michigan Tax Increment Finance Authority (the "Authority") provides an overview of the Authority's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Please read it in conjunction with the Authority's financial statements.

Financial Highlights

The following represents the most significant financial highlights for the year ended June 30, 2006:

- The Authority was established in 1984 with an expiration date set at December 31, 2004. Having determined that the goals set forth in the original TIFA development plan have not yet been completed, the City Council approved an extension of the term of existence for a period up to and including December 31, 2025.
- Property taxes are the Authority's single, largest source of revenue. The Authority captured approximately \$36,964,000 of the City's total taxable value for fiscal year ending June 30, 2006, which represents a decrease of \$898,000 or 2.4 percent. Additionally, the Authority captured an average of \$7,291,000 of other local units of government's total taxable value in the City of Litchfield for fiscal year ending June 30, 2006 as well, which represents a decrease of \$5,523,000 or 43.1 percent. These decreases were primarily due to the end of TIFA's capture of school millage after a debt obligation expired.
- Property tax refunds to taxpayers resulting from State Tax Commission and Tribunal adjustments accounted for \$182,573 of the \$200,000 or 120.6 percent increase in other expenses.
- The Authority contributed to the City of Litchfield, Michigan \$10,000 more than it did in the previous year. The additional momes were contributed towards administrative services and capital outlays.
- Development expense increased approximately \$235,000 or 553 percent from the previous year
 primarily due to housing and industrial park expansion projects. An increase in financial
 assistance requests from local industrial corporations for expansion of their facilities and enticing
 prospective new industrial park clients with developmental projects also played a role in the
 increase.

Using the Annual Report

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The statement of net assets and the statement of activities provide information about the activities of the Authority as a whole and present a longer-term view of the Authority's finances. This longer-term view uses the accrual basis of accounting so that it can measure the cost of providing services during the current year and whether the taxpayers have funded the full cost of providing government services.

The fund financial statements present a short-term view; they tell us how the taxpayers' resources were spent during the year, as well as how much is available for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the Authority's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis Year Ended June 30, 2006

The Authority as a Whole

The following table shows, in a condensed format, the net assets as of the current in comparison to prior year:

	Governmental Activities		
	2006	2005	
Assets	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6 2 180 042	
Current assets	\$ 1,952,915	\$ 2,189,942	
Noncurrent assets	1,820,915	1,509,746	
Total Assets	<u>\$ 3,773,830</u>	\$ 3,699,688	
Liabilities and Net Assets			
Liabilities:		* ***	
Current Liabilities	\$ 198,756	<u>\$ 113,004</u>	
Net Assets:			
Invested in capital - no related debt	\$ 299,600	\$ 305,900	
Unrestricted	3,275,474	3,280,784	
Total Net Assets	\$ 3,575,074	\$ 3,586,684	
Total Liabilities and Total Net Assets	\$ 3,773,830	\$ 3,699,688	

The Authority's net assets decreased by approximately .03 percent from a year ago; from \$3.586 million to \$3.575 million.

The decrease in unrestricted net assets of approximately \$5,710 was a planned decrease which was used for development in the City of Litchfield, Michigan industrial park and housing projects.

The following table shows the changes of the net assets during the current year in comparison to prior year:

	Governmental Activities		
	2006	2005	
Revenue			
General Revenue:		¢ 510.551	
Property taxes	\$ 564,056	\$ 719,571	
Interest	105,324	36,414	
Rental income	33,000	31,625	
Total Revenue	\$ 702,380	\$ 787,610	
Program Expenses			
Development	\$ 277,081	\$ 42,430	
Contributions to primary government	220,300	210,000	
Depreciation	6,300	6,300	
Other	210,309	16,578	
Total Program Expenses	\$ 713,990	\$ 275,308	
Change in Net Assets	\$ (11,610)	\$ 512,302	

Management's Discussion and Analysis Year Ended June 30, 2006

Governmental Activities

In fiscal year 2006, decreases experienced in property taxes resulted from TIFA no longer capturing school millage. There has been no change in local units operating millage rates that the Authority captures.

As expected, expenses increased to further develop the industrial park and housing for anticipated community growth. In 2006 and 2005 the Authority continued carrying out various development projects through out the City of Litchfield.

Operating Highlights

The Authority accounts for all development costs it incurs. The budget in recent years has been developed to continue carrying out a variety of development projects. The budget is developed based on those planned development costs. The budget is monitored closely and amended periodically. The result is that actual revenues and expenses are usually within 10 percent of budgeted amounts.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

At the end of fiscal year 2006, the Authority had approximately \$299,600 (net of depreciation) invested in land and a building that it leases to a local manufacturing business. There is no related infrastructure reported in the year end net capital investment. Any infrastructure developed would be capitalized and depreciated by the City of Litchfield, Michigan.

At June 30, 2006 the Authority did not carry on its financial books any outstanding debt obligations.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

It is anticipated that property tax revenue collected and reported in the following fiscal year will remain consistent with current year with the exception of a possible increase equal to the rate of inflation.

The Authority will continue the industrial park and housing expansion projects during the upcoming fiscal year.

There were no significant variances between budget and actual results.

Contacting the City's Management

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors with a general overview of the Authority's finances and to show the Authority's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report, or need additional information, or would like to obtain financial statements of the City of Litchfield, Michigan, we welcome you to contact the City of Litchfield's administrative office located at 221 Jonesville Street, Litchfield, Michigan 49252.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

JUNE 30, 2006

		ERNMENTAL CTIVITIES
ASSETS		
Current Assets:	r.	1 207 755
Cash	\$	1,207,755
Investment		607,651 277
Accounts receivable		17,678
Interest receivable		64,756
Due from other governmental units		54,798
Note receivable, current portion		
Total Current Assets	\$	1,952,915
Noncurrent Assets:		
Note receivable, net of current portion	\$	522,183
Investment in land		189,793
Infrastructure development		809,339
Capital assets		350,000
Less: accumulated depreciation		(50,400)
Total Noncurrent Assets	\$	1,820,915
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,773,830
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$	48,756
Due to other governmental units		150,000
Total Current Liabilities	\$	198,756
Net Assets:		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	\$	299,600
Unrestricted		3,275,474
Total Net Assets	\$	3,575,074
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	\$	3,773,830

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

	GOVERNMENTAL <u>ACTIVITIES</u>
GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITY EXPENSES Development Contributions to primary government Depreciation Other	\$ (277,081) (220,300) (6,300) (210,309)
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITY EXPENSES	\$ (713,990)
GENERAL REVENUE Property taxes, captured for operations Interest Lease income	\$ 564,056 105,324 33,000
TOTAL GENERAL REVENUES	\$ 702,380
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	\$ (11,610)
NET ASSETS - BEGINNING	3,586,684
NET ASSETS - ENDING	\$ 3,575,074

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUND

JUNE 30, 2006

ASSETS Cash Investments Interest receivable Due from other governmental units	\$ 1,207,755 607,651 16,845 64,756
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,897,007
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities: Accounts payable Due to other governmental units	\$ 48,756 150,000
Total Liabilities	\$ 198,756
Fund Balance: Unreserved, undesignated	\$ 1,698,251
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	\$ 1,897,007

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET WITH THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS

GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE		\$ 1,698,251
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources, and are not reported in the funds		
The cost of the capital assets is Accumulated depreciation is	\$ 350,000 (50,400)	299,600
Notes and accounts receivable are expected to be collected in future years and are not available to pay for current year expenditures		578,091
Investments in land are not a current financial resource and are not reported in the funds		 999,132
NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES		\$ 3,575,074

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGETARY COMPARISON - GOVERNMENTAL FUND

	ORIGINAL BUDGET	FINAL AMENDED BUDGET	<u>ACTUAL</u>	FINAL TO ACTUAL
REVENUES Property taxes Interest Lease income Loans collected	\$ 719,569 40,000 31,625	64,799	\$ 564,056 104,491 33,000 25,408	\$ (459) 39,692 25,408
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 791,194	\$ 662,314	<u>\$ 726,955</u>	\$ 64,641
EXPENDITURES Development Contributions to primary government Other	\$ 2,260,000 214,000 43,000	214,000	\$ 538,256 214,000 216,886	\$ 60,546
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 2,517,000	\$ 1,030,676	<u>\$ 969,142</u>	\$ 61,534
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	\$ (1,725,800	5) \$ (368,362)	\$ (242,187)	\$ 126,175
FUND BALANCE - BEGINNING	1,940,43	1,940,438	1,940,438	
FUND BALANCE - ENDING	\$ 214,63	<u>\$ 1,572,076</u>	\$1,698,251_	\$ 126,175

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPE TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE - TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES	\$ (242,187)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Governmental Funds report capital outlays as expenditures, and in the Statement of Activities these costs are allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation	(6,300)
Collection of long-term receivables is revenue in governmental funds. However, in governmental activities, cash collections reduce long-term receivables	(24,575)
Investment in land is an expenditure in governmental funds. However, in governmental activities, the investment is capitalized and recognized as an expenditure when sold. Therefore, the investment is not reported as an expenditure in the statement of activities	 <u> 261,452</u>
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES	\$ (11,610)

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA) conform to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governments. The following is a summary of the more significant policies:

FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY

The Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA) (the "Authority") is organized and incorporated as authorized by and pursuant to the Tax Increment Finance Authority Act, P.A. 450 of 1981, as amended. The Authority is a component unit of the City of Litchfield, Michigan governed by a separate board appointed by the City Council, created to promote economic growth in the City of Litchfield, Michigan.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on all activities of the Authority. Authority's government-wide activities are considered governmental activities.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. Program revenue includes (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function, and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function. Taxes, intergovernmental payments, and other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenue. Note: during the year, the Authority did not receive any program revenues.

MEASUREMENT FOCUS, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING, AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized as soon as it is both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

Property taxes, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenue of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be available only when the government receives the cash.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING

The Authority's procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements are as follows:

- 1. The Authority's Board submits to the City Council a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
- 2. Public hearings are conducted to obtain taxpayer comments.
- 3. Prior to July 1, the budget is legally enacted through passage of a resolution.
- 4. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the year.
- 5. The budget is adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles, which is the modified accrual basis used to reflect actual results, and consist only of those amounts contained in the formal budget approved or as amended by City Council. The budget is adopted at the fund level.

ENCUMBRANCE ACCOUNTING

Encumbrances for goods and services are documented by requisitions or contracts. Appropriations lapse at June 30 and any encumbrances outstanding at that time are to be either canceled or appropriately provided for in the subsequent year's budget. There were no outstanding encumbrances at June 30, 2006.

PROPERTY TAX

The City of Litchfield, the primary government, bills and collects property taxes and distributes them to the Authority. Properties are assessed as of December 31, and the related real property taxes are levied and become payable on July 1 of the following year for summer taxes and December 1 for winter taxes. Summer and winter taxes are due without penalty if paid by September 14 and February 14, respectively. Delinquent taxes are turned over to the County and added to the County tax roll. The County remits all uncollected delinquent real property taxes to the City by June 30. Subsequently, the City remits to the Authority the portion of delinquent real property taxes due to it. Uncollected personal property taxes are not accrued because the date of collection is uncertain.

CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets, which include land and buildings, are reported in the government wide financial statements. The Authority defines capital assets as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$2,000 and an estimated useful life in excess of 2 years. Capital assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. Costs of normal repair and maintenance that do not add to the value or materially extend an assets life are not capitalized but rather expensed in the period incurred.

Buildings are depreciated using the straight-line method over a fifty year period.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONCLUDED)

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The Authority is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions. The Authority is covered under the City of Litchfield's commercial insurance as a coinsurer for general liability for the aforementioned risks.

There have been no reported claims to the commercial insurance with respect to the Authority in any of the past three years. Additionally, there were no reductions in coverage's obtained through the City of Litchfield's commercial insurance during the past year.

NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The Authority is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated at the time of purchase within the two highest classifications established by not less than two standard rating services and that matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or any of its political subdivisions that at the time of purchase are rated as investment grade by not less than one standard rating service; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The breakdown between deposits and investments for the Authority is as follows:

Cash (checking accounts, savings accounts and certificates of deposits) Investment in a money market fund	\$ 1,207,755 607,651
Total	\$ 1,815,406

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 2: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (CONCLUDED)

Deposits

The Authority's deposits were reflected in the accounts of the bank at \$1,815,443. Of that amount, \$303,403 was covered by federal depository insurance and the remainder was uninsured and uncollateralized.

The Authority believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all bank deposits. As a result, the Authority evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits Authority funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Investments

Investments are normally categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the Authority. As of June 30, 2006, the Authority's investment in a money market fund, totaling \$607,651, was rated AAA by Standard & Poor's rating service. Additionally, there is no custodial risk because the fund is not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book entry form. The Authority believes that its investment in the money market fund is in compliance with Public Acts 196 of 1997. The money market fund is registered with the SEC.

NOTE 3: INVESTMENT IN LAND AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

Investment in land represents Iand developed by the Authority for residential housing and industrial expansion. The Authority anticipates selling the land to developers. Additionally, TIFA is investing in the development of infrastructure in the new residential housing complexes. When the development is complete the infrastructure will be contributed to the City of Litchfield at which time it will be capitalized and depreciated in the financial books of the City.

NOTE 4: LONG-TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE

During the year the Authority loaned \$100,000 to Newco Industries, Inc. for improvements to the Company's newly acquired operating facility. The terms of the loan require interest only payments commencing on February 1, 2006 through January 1, 2007. Effective February 1, 2007 the Company is required to pay the Authority minimum monthly installments of \$1,700, which includes interest at the rate of 5.0% per annum, through January 31, 2010 at which time any remaining principal is due in full.

100,000

During 2004-2005 fiscal year the Authority loaned \$500,000 to Newco Industries, Inc. to acquire land and building located in City of Litchfield. The terms of the loan require the Company to repay the Authority \$400,000 in monthly installments of \$3,680, which includes interest at the rate of 2.0% per annum, effective July 22, 2005 through June 21, 2015; the remaining \$100,000 was forgiven by the Authority as a grant.

374,592

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 4: LONG-TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE (CONCLUDED)

During the year the Authority loaned \$102,389 to Payseno Builders, a construction contractor, for cost incurred in the construction of condominiums in City of Litchfield. The terms of the loan require the contractor to repay the Authority \$5,000 on the sale of each condominium until the full amount borrowed is repaid, interest free. Since the condominiums will be sold on unknown dates imputed interest is indeterminable.

\$ 102,389

Less: current portion of notes receivable

576,981 54,<u>798</u>

TOTAL LONG-TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE

<u>\$ 522,183</u>

	7/01/05	_Additions_	Reductions	<u>6/30/06</u>
Newco 1/31/10	\$ 500,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 125,408	\$ 100,000 374,592
Newco 6/21/15 Payseno		102,389		102,389
	\$ 500,000	<u>\$ 202,389</u>	<u>\$ 125,408</u>	<u>\$ 576,981</u>

Following is the maturity schedule of outstanding notes receivable:

June 30	Principal	<u>Interest</u>	Total
2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012-2015 Thereafter	\$ 54,798 54,078 55,667 100,066 40,335 169,648 102,389	\$ 12,809 10,488 8,899 7,252 3,831 7,017	\$ 67,607 64,566 64,566 107,318 44,166 176,665 102,389
	<u>\$ 576,981</u>	<u>\$ 50,296</u>	<u>\$ 627,277</u>

The Payseno Builders note is reported as thereafter since the date of collection is indeterminable.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING AUTHORITY (TIFA)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONCLUDED)

JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 5: CHANGES IN FIXED ASSETS

A summary of capital assets of the Authority activities was as follows:

	Balance 7/01/05	Additions	Disposals & Adjustments	Balance 6/30/06
Assets not being depreciated: Land	\$ 35,000	\$	\$	\$ 35,000
Capital assets being depreciated: Building	315,000 \$ 350,000	<u> </u>		315,000 \$ 350,000
	<u>Φ 230'ήγος</u>	<u>Ψ</u> -	<u> </u>	
Accumulated depreciation: Building	<u>\$ 44,100</u>	<u>\$ 6,300</u>	<u>\$</u>	\$ 50,400
Net Capital Assets	<u>\$ 305,900</u>	<u>\$ 6,300</u>	\$	<u>\$ 299,600</u>

NOTE 6: LEASES

The Authority leases property to a local manufacturer for \$2,750 per month renewable annually. At year ended June 30, 2006, the Authority recognized lease revenue in the amount of \$33,000.

NOTE 7: PROPERTY TAX ADJUSTMENTS

During the year TIFA repaid taxes collected totaling \$32,573 resulting from Board of Review decisions in favor of taxpayer claims.

Additionally, the State of Michigan determined that TIFA captured school tax dollars one year too many in accordance with the Tax Increment Finance Act. As a result TIFA is required to remit \$150,000 it captured during the 2004 tax year back to the schools. At June 30, 2006 TIFA accrued the obligation as a liability under due to other governmental units.

Both of the above are recorded as other expenditures.

OTHER REPORTS



Osbourne, March, Condon & Co., P.C.

Certified Public Accountants

184 West Carleton Road

Hillsdale, Michigan 49242

Telephone: 517/439-9331

FAX: 517/439-1894

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Tax Increment Financing Authority Board City of Litchfield, Michigan Litchfield, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activity and fund information of the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA), a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, which collectively comprise the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA), Michigan's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 5, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA), a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan, financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA), a component unit of City of Litchfield, Michigan, internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses.

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the Tax Increment Financing Authority (TIFA) Board, City Council, management, and the State of Michigan. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Osbourne, March, Condon & Co P.C.

Certified Public Accountants